

Orwell's Nineteen Eighty-Four vs UK 2024

10 August 2024

Comparing George Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" with current government stances on free speech and freedom of expression in the UK can be an insightful exercise, revealing how certain policies or actions may echo the dystopian themes Orwell described.

Here are some key comparisons:

1. Surveillance and Privacy

Orwell's 1984:

- The government, through the Party, exercises omnipresent surveillance over citizens, monitoring their every move and conversation.
- Telescreens and the Thought Police ensure that individuals cannot speak or even think freely without the risk of being caught and punished.

UK Government:

- The Investigatory Powers Act (2016), often referred to as the "Snooper's Charter," expanded the government's surveillance capabilities, allowing authorities to collect and retain citizens' internet connection records.
- Critics argue that such measures infringe on privacy and create a surveillance state reminiscent of Orwell's vision.

2. Control of Information and Media

Orwell's 1984:

- The Party controls all information, rewriting history to fit its narrative and employing propaganda to manipulate public perception.
- The Ministry of Truth is responsible for altering records and

disseminating lies to maintain the Party's power.

UK Government:

- There have been concerns about government attempts to influence media and restrict press freedom. For instance, certain defamation and libel laws can be seen as tools to silence journalists and suppress investigative reporting.
- Recent debates over the Online Safety Bill have raised fears that measures aimed at regulating harmful content online could be used to curtail legitimate expression.

3. Language and Thought Control

Orwell's 1984:

- Newspeak is the language designed by the Party to limit the range of thought. By reducing the complexity of language, the Party aims to eliminate rebellious thoughts.
- Thoughtcrime is the criminal act of holding unspoken beliefs or doubts that oppose or question the Party.

UK Government:

- There is ongoing discourse about politically correct language and the limits of acceptable speech. While intended to promote inclusivity, some argue that this trend can sometimes suppress open debate and freedom of expression.
- Legislation against hate speech and extremist content is crucial for protecting individuals but can also be perceived as restricting free thought and expression if not carefully balanced.

4. Propaganda and Public Opinion

Orwell's 1984:

- The Party uses constant propaganda to control the thoughts and beliefs of the populace, ensuring loyalty and suppressing dissent.
- The Two Minutes Hate is a daily period in which Party members must watch propaganda films and express their hatred for the Party's enemies, reinforcing groupthink.

UK Government:

- The role of government communications, particularly during crises such as Brexit and the COVID-19 pandemic, has sometimes been criticized for being overly propagandistic.
- The use of state-sponsored advertising and public information campaigns can blur the lines between informing the public and manipulating public opinion.

5. Freedom of Assembly and Protest

Orwell's 1984:

- Public assembly and protest are strictly forbidden, and any form of collective dissent is brutally suppressed.
- The Party ensures that all organized activity is under its control and serves its interests.

UK Government:

- The Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act (2022) includes measures that restrict the right to protest, such as allowing police to impose noise limits and start and end times on protests.
- Critics argue that such measures disproportionately limit the right to peaceful assembly and expression, drawing comparisons to Orwellian

suppression of dissent.

Conclusion

While the UK remains a democratic society with robust protections for free speech and expression, certain government actions and policies have raised concerns about the erosion of these freedoms. Comparing these developments with the dystopian elements of “Nineteen Eighty-Four” serves as a reminder of the vigilance required to protect civil liberties.

Sources

https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/entry/investigatory-powers-act-becomes-law-royal-assent_uk_583d91d8e4b072ec0d60680d

<https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/government/uk-passes-the-most-extreme-surveillance-law-in-the-history-of-western-democracy>

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/media/protect-journalists-abuse-reporters-b1814294.html>

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/mark-rowley-met-police-sky-news-prime-minister-government-b2591372.html>

<https://www.theguardian.com/society/2022/jan/11/online-safety-bill>

Interestingly, while a number of the source article were suggested by my AI tool, these appear to have been taken down by the relevant publishers.