

“Oh My God, What Have They Done?” France’s Risky Gaza Asylum Ruling

14 July 2025

A recent French court ruling has opened the door for Gazans to apply for asylum in France — a decision rooted in humanitarian concern, yet fraught with complex implications for national security and social cohesion across Europe. In the shadow of ongoing war and mass displacement, it is essential to weigh moral responsibility against the real risks.

Humanitarian Obligations vs. Realpolitik

France’s move aligns with the 1951 Refugee Convention and a long-standing tradition of sheltering the persecuted. Gazans face immense suffering — caught between Israeli military action and authoritarian rule by Hamas. Many genuinely seek safety and survival.

But compassion alone **isn’t a strategy**. Western democracies cannot ignore the downstream impact of resettling a population shaped by years of indoctrination and conflict.

□ Security Concerns Are Not Islamophobia — They’re Real

1□ Hamas Indoctrination

Gaza has been ruled by Hamas since 2007. During this time, education, media, and religious institutions have propagated an ideology that glorifies violence, martyrdom, and hatred for Israel and the West.

2▣ Cultural Conditioning

Children in Gaza are raised on imagery of resistance and revenge. From cartoons to textbooks, they are taught to idolise “martyrs” and vilify Western ideals. That cultural lens doesn’t disappear upon crossing a border.

3▣ Historic Abuse of Refugee Routes

ISIS exploited the 2015–2016 migrant crisis to enter Europe. Hamas or affiliated groups could attempt the same, using refugee flows as cover. It’s not paranoia — it’s precedent.

4▣ Radicalisation Risk

Even if the majority come in peace, failure to integrate, trauma, and imported grievances can ferment extremism in the long run. The danger is not only immediate — it’s generational.

European and UK Implications

France’s ruling sets a potential **precedent** for the EU. While the UK is outside the bloc, it is hardly immune to migration flows — particularly via irregular routes from France.

▣ **National Security Threat:** Importing Hamas-aligned ideology under humanitarian pretext is a strategic risk.

▣ **Strained Integration Systems:** Europe is still grappling with the integration of previous waves of migrants. Additional inflows, especially from war zones governed by extremist groups, may stretch systems to breaking point.

▣ **Political Polarisation:** A backlash is inevitable if asylum recipients are later linked to terror or antisocial activity. The far-right thrives on perceived security failures.

□ A Smarter Way Forward

1. Prioritise Regional Solutions

Gulf States, Egypt, and Jordan should be encouraged to lead resettlement efforts. These nations share more cultural and linguistic commonality with Gazans and pose fewer integration risks.

2. Apply Rigorous Vetting

Humanitarian intake must include background checks, ideological screening, and compulsory integration programmes — including civic education and psychological support.

3. Use Temporary Protection Mechanisms

Temporary safe haven — with periodic review — could offer shelter without the permanency that often breeds resentment or entitlement.

4. Create Safe Zones Near Gaza

This would require international willpower, but humanitarian zones close to Gaza, possibly under Arab or UN supervision, offer safer and more culturally aligned refuge.

□ Final Thought

This is not about demonising Palestinians — it's about confronting **real-world consequences**. France must ask: can we uphold compassion without jeopardising our own society?

The West must learn from the past. Mass resettlement without scrutiny is **not justice** — it's **neglect disguised as kindness**.

The right answer lies not in **blind acceptance** or **cruel refusal**, but in **measured, realistic policy** that protects both those fleeing war and those tasked with welcoming them.